

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/723,282	11/26/2003	Keith G. Wiedow	VALMET-97	5578
36528	7590 08/26/2005		EXAM	INER
STIENNON & STIENNON			HALPER	N, MARK
612 W. MAIN P.O. BOX 166	I ST., SUITE 201 57		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON, V	WI 53701-1667		1731	

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/723,282	WIEDOW ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Halpern	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this commo	unication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re 	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remunication. r (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt s tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB is after the mailing date of this communication, even if the	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	filed on <u>21 July 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition					
	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the	e application.				
	/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	riction and/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Everiner				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		by the Everiner			
	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan				
	ng the correction is required if the drawing(* *			
11) The oath or declaration is objected		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	to by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priorit 	ty documents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priorit	ty documents have been received in A	pplication No			
Copies of the certified copie	s of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the Internat	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office act	tion for a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449	or PTO/SB/08) 5) D Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0805			

DETAILED ACTION

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 7/21/2005. Claims 1, 3-8, are amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2) Claims 1-10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 13, recites the phrase "can be moved" which renders the claim indefinite, since it is not clear if the movement occurs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3) Claims 1-2, 5-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gresham (3,377,224). Gresham discloses an apparatus for crimping multiple plies of a fibrous cellulosic material. The apparatus includes multiple crimping rolls arranged in a

Application/Control Number: 10/723,282 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

cross machine direction, a rotating anvil roll and a linear means for providing pressure load on the crimping rolls against the anvil roll to crimp bond the fibrous cellulosic plies. Arms 11 are attached to rolls 10; the rolls rotate on linear bearings. Vertical grooves are shown in Figure 2 (col. 2, line 9 to col. 4, line 72, and Figures 1-7). Two crimping wheels are disclosed in Figure 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 9-10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gresham (3,377,224). Gresham is applied as above for claim 5, Gresham is silent on each of the crimping wheels being mounted on separate shafts, however, it would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the separate shafts be replaced by a single shaft since the crimpling wheels on a single shaft perform the same function as do the crimping wheels on separate shafts, and thus replacing with one shaft would reduce the overall cost of the apparatus.
- Claims 3-4, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gresham in view of Yoshitani (6,021,790). Gresham is applied as above for claim 1, Gresham is silent on air knife providing air against crimping wheels. Yoshitani discloses an air knife blowing air at a cleaning station in a substrate treatment

Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

apparatus (col. 1, lines 36-48). It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Gresham and Yoshitani, because such a combination would provide in-process cleaning of the apparatus of Gresham as disclosed by Yoshitani, and thus prolong the life of the apparatus of Gresham.

Response to Amendment

6) Applicants' arguments filed 7/21/2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants have amended claims 1, 3-8. Applicants have not presented how the present invention differs over the cited prior art. The arguments presented in the prior submittal are not valid in view of the amended claims.

Conclusion

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,282

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Mark Halpern^U
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1731

Page 5